

HAWKINSON

EXHIBIT C

Network Working Group
 Request for Comments: 2002
 Category: Standards Track

C. Perkins, Editor
 IBM
 October 1996

IP Mobility Support

Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Abstract

This document specifies protocol enhancements that allow transparent routing of IP datagrams to mobile nodes in the Internet. Each mobile node is always identified by its home address, regardless of its current point of attachment to the Internet. While situated away from its home, a mobile node is also associated with a care-of address, which provides information about its current point of attachment to the Internet. The protocol provides for registering the care-of address with a home agent. The home agent sends datagrams destined for the mobile node through a tunnel to the care-of address. After arriving at the end of the tunnel, each datagram is then delivered to the mobile node.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Protocol Requirements	3
1.2. Goals	4
1.3. Assumptions	4
1.4. Applicability	4
1.5. New Architectural Entities	5
1.6. Terminology	6
1.7. Protocol Overview	8
1.8. Specification Language	11
1.9. Message Format and Protocol Extensibility	12
2. Agent Discovery	14
2.1. Agent Advertisement	14
2.1.1. Mobility Agent Advertisement Extension	16
2.1.2. Prefix-Lengths Extension	18
2.1.3. One-byte Padding Extension	19
2.2. Agent Solicitation	19
2.3. Foreign Agent and Home Agent Considerations	19
2.3.1. Advertised Router Addresses	20

2.3.2. Sequence Numbers and Rollover Handling	21
2.4. Mobile Node Considerations	21
2.4.1. Registration Required	22
2.4.2. Move Detection	22
2.4.3. Returning Home	24
2.4.4. Sequence Numbers and Rollover Handling	24
3. Registration	24
3.1. Registration Overview	25
3.2. Authentication	26
3.3. Registration Request	26
3.4. Registration Reply	29
3.5. Registration Extensions	32
3.5.1. Computing Authentication Extension Values	32
3.5.2. Mobile-Home Authentication Extension	33
3.5.3. Mobile-Foreign Authentication Extension	33
3.5.4. Foreign-Home Authentication Extension	34
3.6. Mobile Node Considerations	34
3.6.1. Sending Registration Requests	36
3.6.2. Receiving Registration Replies	40
3.6.3. Registration Retransmission	42
3.7. Foreign Agent Considerations	43
3.7.1. Configuration and Registration Tables	44
3.7.2. Receiving Registration Requests	44
3.7.3. Receiving Registration Replies	47
3.8. Home Agent Considerations	49
3.8.1. Configuration and Registration Tables	49
3.8.2. Receiving Registration Requests	49
3.8.3. Sending Registration Replies	53
4. Routing Considerations	55
4.1. Encapsulation Types	56
4.2. Unicast Datagram Routing	56
4.2.1. Mobile Node Considerations	56
4.2.2. Foreign Agent Considerations	57
4.2.3. Home Agent Considerations	58
4.3. Broadcast Datagrams	59
4.4. Multicast Datagram Routing	60
4.5. Mobile Routers	61
4.6. ARP, Proxy ARP, and Gratuitous ARP	62
5. Security Considerations	66
5.1. Message Authentication Codes	66
5.2. Areas of Security Concern in this Protocol	66
5.3. Key Management	67
5.4. Picking Good Random Numbers	67
5.5. Privacy	67
5.6. Replay Protection for Registration Requests	68
5.6.1. Replay Protection using Timestamps	68
5.6.2. Replay Protection using Nonces	69
6. Acknowledgments	71

A. Patent Issues	72
A.1. IBM Patent #5,159,592	72
A.2. IBM Patent #5,148,479	72
B. Link-Layer Considerations	73
C. TCP Considerations	73
C.1. TCP Timers	73
C.2. TCP Congestion Management	73
D. Example Scenarios	74
D.1. Registering with a Foreign Agent Care-of Address	74
D.2. Registering with a Co-Located Care-of Address	75
D.3. Deregistration	76
E. Applicability of Prefix Lengths Extension	76
Editor's Address	79

1. Introduction

IP version 4 assumes that a node's IP address uniquely identifies the node's point of attachment to the Internet. Therefore, a node must be located on the network indicated by its IP address in order to receive datagrams destined to it; otherwise, datagrams destined to the node would be undeliverable. For a node to change its point of attachment without losing its ability to communicate, currently one of the two following mechanisms must typically be employed:

- a) the node must change its IP address whenever it changes its point of attachment, or
- b) host-specific routes must be propagated throughout much of the Internet routing fabric.

Both of these alternatives are often unacceptable. The first makes it impossible for a node to maintain transport and higher-layer connections when the node changes location. The second has obvious and severe scaling problems, especially relevant considering the explosive growth in sales of notebook (mobile) computers.

A new, scalable, mechanism is required for accommodating node mobility within the Internet. This document defines such a mechanism, which enables nodes to change their point of attachment to the Internet without changing their IP address.

1.1. Protocol Requirements

A mobile node must be able to communicate with other nodes after changing its link-layer point of attachment to the Internet, yet without changing its IP address.

It does, however, place additional burden on the IPv4 address space because it requires a pool of addresses within the foreign network to be made available to visiting mobile nodes. It is difficult to efficiently maintain pools of addresses for each subnet that may permit mobile nodes to visit.

It is important to understand the distinction between the care-of address and the foreign agent functions. The care-of address is simply the endpoint of the tunnel. It might indeed be an address of a foreign agent (a foreign agent care-of address), but it might instead be an address temporarily acquired by the mobile node (a co-located care-of address). A foreign agent, on the other hand, is a mobility agent that provides services to mobile nodes. See Sections 3.7 and 4.2.2 for additional details.

A home agent MUST be able to attract and intercept datagrams that are destined to the home address of any of its registered mobile nodes. Using the proxy and gratuitous ARP mechanisms described in [Section 4.6](#), this requirement can be satisfied if the home agent has a network interface on the link indicated by the mobile node's home address. Other placements of the home agent relative to the mobile node's home location MAY also be possible using other mechanisms for intercepting datagrams destined to the mobile node's home address. Such placements are beyond the scope of this document.

Similarly, a mobile node and a prospective or current foreign agent MUST be able to exchange datagrams without relying on standard IP routing mechanisms; that is, those mechanisms which make forwarding decisions based upon the network-prefix of the destination address in the IP header. This requirement can be satisfied if the foreign agent and the visiting mobile node have an interface on the same link. In this case, the mobile node and foreign agent simply bypass their normal IP routing mechanism when sending datagrams to each other, addressing the underlying link-layer packets to their respective link-layer addresses. Other placements of the foreign agent relative to the mobile node MAY also be possible using other mechanisms to exchange datagrams between these nodes, but such placements are beyond the scope of this document.

If a mobile node is using a co-located care-of address (as described in (b) above), the mobile node MUST be located on the link identified by the network prefix of this care-of address. Otherwise, datagrams destined to the care-of address would be undeliverable.

For example, the figure below illustrates the routing of datagrams to and from a mobile node away from home, once the mobile node has registered with its home agent. In the figure below, the mobile node is using a foreign agent care-of address: